NOV 0 6 2006

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

| In re Application of: | Group Art Unit: 3737 |
|---|--------------------------|
| FRAZIER et al.) | Confirmation No.: 3127 |
| Filed: 18 July 2003) | Examiner: Kish, James M. |
| Serial No.: 10/622,242) | Docket No.: VI/99-024.D |
| For: COMMUNICATION SYSTEMS FOR) USE WITH MAGNETIC RESONANCE) IMAGING SYSTEMS) | Date: 6 November 2006 |

MAIL STOP AMENDMENT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. BOX 1450 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450

AMENDMENT AND RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION MAILED 15 JULY 2006

Dear Sir/Madam:

Applicants acknowledge receipt of an Office Action dated 15 July 2006 concerning the patent application cited above. According to the Office Action, the application is deficient in the following respects:

- (1) Claims 1, 5, 8-9, 12-15 and 19 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent 5,494,036 to Uber et al.; and
- (2) Claims 2-3, 10-11 and 16 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over *Uber et al.* in view of U.S. Patent 6,198,285 to *Kormos et al.*

The Examiner noted, however, that claims 6-7 and 17-18, though objected to, would be allowed if rewritten in independent form